Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/882,271	KAUFFMAN, GEORGE M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A Demakis	2836
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>James A Demakis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Irving M. Kriegsman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 December 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Note of the conference ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9,21 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	「WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief st 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/882,271

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Approval was given by Applicant's representative for an Examiner's amendment as follows: Amendment of 10/15/2003 incorporated original claim 9 as part of new claim 23; inadvertently, claim 9 was not removed from the overall claims listing.

Claim 21 is changed to read, as follows: "The protective device of claim 19 wherein said spring, together with the protective device, provides a current path from the inner conductor to the outer conductor."